

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/075,222	02/13/2002	Travis J. Parry	10011315-1	8729	
7590 01/04/2005 HEWLETT-PACKARD COMPANY Intellectual Property Administration P.O. Box 272400			EXAMINER		
			MCCLELLAN, JAMES S		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
Fort Collins, C	Fort Collins, CO 80527-2400			3627	
			DATE MAILED: 01/04/2005		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

•			,			
		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		10/075,222	PARRY, TRAVIS J.			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		James S McClellan	3627			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication a or Reply	ppears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address -			
THE - Exte after - If the - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Depend for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a represent of the provision of the pro	1. 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be to eply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the cause the application to become ABANDON	imely filed anys will be considered timely. any the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
1) 又	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 12	October 2004.				
		nis action is non-final.				
′=	☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
,—	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposit	ion of Claims					
4)🖂	Claim(s) 1-13 is/are pending in the application	on.				
-	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
	☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. ☐ Claim(s) 1-13 is/are rejected.					
· · · · · ·						
	Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	/or election requirement.				
Applicat	ion Papers		•			
9)[The specification is objected to by the Exami	ner.				
	10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.					
,—	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corre	ection is required if the drawing(s) is o	bjected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the	Examiner. Note the attached Offic	e Action or form PTO-152.			
Priority (under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
a)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docume 2. Certified copies of the priority docume 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority docume application from the International Bure See the attached detailed Office action for a li	ents have been received. ents have been received in Applicationity documents have been received in PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	tion No ved in this National Stage			
Attachmen	at(s)					
	ce of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summar				
3) 🔲 Infor	ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0 er No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s)/Mail I 5) Notice of Informal 6) Other:	Patent Application (PTO-152)			

Art Unit: 3627

DETAILED ACTION

Amendment

1. Applicant's submittal of an amendment was entered on October 12, 2004, wherein:

claims 1-13 are pending and

claim 13 has been added.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 1, 3-7, and 9-13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over U.S. Patent No. 6,216,113 (Aikens) in view of U.S. Patent No. 6,782,495 (Bernklau-Halvor).

Regarding **claim 1**, Aikens discloses a system for remotely providing cost accounting with respect to the usage of a machine (printer, fax or other device, see column 2, lines 64-65), said system comprising: memory means for providing an accounting database (17 and 18A; see column 2, lines 28-34); controller means for processing information stored by and retried from said database (14, see column 26-27); network means (24; see column 2, lines 44-46) for coupling said machine to said database (17) via said controller (14); interface means (40; see paragraph bridging columns 2-3) coupled to said database (17) via said network (24) for effecting communication therewith, said interface means (40) including a web server (14) for

Application/Control Number: 10/075,222

Art Unit: 3627

transmitting and receiving said information to and from said database (17); [claim 3] said machine is a printing machine (11, see column 2, lines 64-65); [claim 4] means disposed at said machine for transmitting information to said database (17) via said controller relating to usage of said machine in connection with a predetermined job (see column 4, lines 43-53); [claim 5] including an authentication database (see column 2, lines 40-42) operationally coupled to said controller (14); [claim 6] said user interface (40) is housed within said machine (see paragraph bridging columns 2-3); and [claim 7] said user interface (40) is physically separate from said machine (see paragraph bridging columns 2-3).

Regarding **claim 11**, Aiken discloses a system for remotely providing cost accounting with respect to the usage of a copy, print, or facsimile machine as set forth above in detail for claim 1.

Regarding **claim 12**, Aiken discloses a method for remotely providing cost accounting with respect to the usage of a machine as set forth above in detail for claim 1.

Regarding claims 1, 11, and 12, Aiken fails to explicitly disclose the use of an embedded web server for use over the Internet or an intranet.

Application/Control Number: 10/075,222

Art Unit: 3627

Bernklau-Halvor teaches the use of a printing device that communicates over a network (intranet or Internet; see column 3, lines 18-22) via an embedded web server (45; see column 6, lines 16-24).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Aiken with an embedded web server as taught by Bernklau-Halvor, because the use of an embedded web server as opposed to a remote server allows for more efficient communication across the network.

4. Claim 2 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Aiken in view of Bernklau-Havlor as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of U.S. Patent No. 3,872,282 (Long).

Aiken in combination with Bernklau-Havlor disclose all the claimed elements as set forth above but fail to explicitly disclose the use of the system in conjunction with a copy machine. However, Aiken notes that the machine used in the billing system can be various devices including a printer, fax or other device (see column 2, lines 64-65).

Long teaches the use of a copy machine that employs cost control.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Aiken with an analogous device (copy device) as taught by Long, because it is advantageous for businesses to account for all expenses, including copy services.

5. Claim 8 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Aiken in view of Bernklau-Havlor as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of Official Notice.

Aiken fails to explicitly disclose the use of communication over a wireless network.

Art Unit: 3627

The Examiner takes Official Notice that network communication over a wireless device was old and well known in the art at the time the invention was made.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Aiken with network communication over a wireless device interface as was well known in the art, because using wireless devices allow the user greater mobility.

Response to Arguments

6. Applicant's arguments filed December 29, 20044 have been fully considered but they are not fully persuasive.

Based on Applicant's arguments, the Examiner relies upon a new rejection that clearly discloses an embedded web server.

Conclusion

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jim McClellan whose telephone number is (703) 305-0212. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 9:30 to 6:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Robert Olszewski, can be reached at (703) 308-5183.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-1113.

Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Commissioner of Patent and Trademarks Washington D.C. 20231

Application/Control Number: 10/075,222

Art Unit: 3627

Page 6

or faxed to:

(703) 872-9306 (Official communications) or (703) 746-3516 (Informal/Draft communications).

Hand delivered responses should be brought to Crystal Park 5, 2451 Crystal Drive,

Arlington, VA, 7th floor receptionist.

James S. McClellan Primary Examiner

A.U. 3627

jsm

December 29, 2004